

Prolonged schedule of temozolomide (Temodal) plus liposomal doxorubicin (Caelyx) in advanced solid cancers

A. Awada^a, T. Gil^a, F. Sales^a, M. Dubuisson^a, P. Vereecken^a, J. Klastersky^a, C. Moerman^a, D. de Valeriola^a and M. J. Piccart^a

Temozolomide (Temodal) is an oral imidazotetrazine. Increased temozolomide exposure and subsequent depletion of *O*⁶-alkylguanine alkyltransferase may improve the activity of temozolomide. The rationale for investigating temozolomide plus Caelyx is based on their antitumor activity, their formulation and no significant overlapping toxicities. We conducted a study of a prolonged schedule of temozolomide (orally on days 1–7 and 15–21) plus Caelyx (day 1) every 28 days. Twenty-one patients (melanoma *n*=10, sarcoma *n*=7 and other *n*=4) were assigned to four dose levels (DL; temozolomide + Caelyx, mg/m²): DL1: 100 + 30 (*n*=3 patients), DL2: 100 + 40 (*n*=6 patients), DL3: 125 + 40 (*n*=6 patients) and DL4: 150 + 40 (*n*=6 patients). Dose-limiting toxicities were noted after 2 or more cycles in one patient at DL3 (stomatitis) and one patient at DL4 (grade 4 ANC ≥ 7 days). Treatment delays and/or dose reductions (due to hematological toxicity) were necessary in five of six patients receiving DL4 compared with one of six patients at DL3, and one patient at DL1 and 2. Thus, the recommended dose was temozolomide 125 mg/m² (daily for 7 days every other week) plus Caelyx 40 mg/m² (day 1 every 4 weeks). Other toxicities were mild. Antitumor activity was observed in

eight patients, including one complete response (melanoma), three partial responses (one melanoma, two sarcomas) and four patients with stable disease (three melanomas, one Ewing), with a duration lasting from 14 to 135+ weeks. Two melanoma patients showed tumor stabilization in non-irradiated cerebral lesions. This schedule of temozolomide allowed higher dose intensity (1750 mg/m² in 4 weeks) compared to the standard 5-day regimen (1000 mg/m² in the same amount of time).

Anti-Cancer Drugs 15:499–502 © 2004 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Anti-Cancer Drugs 2004, 15:499–502

Keywords: Caelyx, phase I, solid tumors, temozolomide

^aJules Bordet Institute, Brussels, Belgium.

Correspondence to A. Awada, Jules Bordet Institute, Boulevard de Waterloo 121, 1000 Brussels, Belgium.
Tel: +32 2 541 31 89; fax: +32 2 538 08 58;
e-mail: ahmad.awada@bordet.be

Received 14 November 2003 Revised form accepted 26 February 2004

Introduction

Temozolomide (Temodal) is an oral imidazotetrazine that is spontaneously cleaved *in vivo* to monoethyl triazenoimidazole carboxamide (MTIC), a reactive DNA methylating species, forming *O*⁶-alkylguanine as a major cytotoxic lesion. Temozolomide (200 mg/m² daily \times 5 every 4 weeks) has reported activity in glioma, melanoma and brain metastases of non-small cell lung cancers [1–3]. The antitumor activity of temozolomide is schedule dependent. Thus, increased drug exposure and subsequent depletion of the DNA repair enzyme *O*⁶-alkylguanine alkyltransferase may improve the antitumor activity of temozolomide [4].

Liposomal doxorubicin (Caelyx; Doxil) is doxorubicin encapsulated in a pegylated liposome with a unique pharmacokinetic profile that results in prolonged circulation time and preservation of the drug in the liposome until it reaches and concentrates in the tumor [5]. This formulation also has a moderate myelotoxicity, stomatitis, alopecia and palmar–plantar erythrodysesthesia, and has demonstrated activity in the treatment of ovarian and

breast cancers [6,7]. The rationale for using temozolomide plus liposomal doxorubicin arises from their activity against different tumor types, their formulation permitting long-term exposure to the drugs, as well as their lack of significant overlapping toxicities.

Materials and methods

We conducted a phase I study in order to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) of a prolonged schedule of temozolomide combined with liposomal doxorubicin. DLT was defined by the presence of any of the following (NCI-CTC, version 2 grading): neutropenia, grade 4 for 7 days or more; febrile neutropenia; thrombocytopenia, grade 4 or grade 3 for more than 7 days; non-hematologic toxicity, any grade 3 severity (except for vomiting controlled by antiemetics and alopecia); and treatment delays for 2 or more weeks because of toxicity (absence of normal hematological counts or no recovery to grade 1 or less non-hematological toxicity). Secondary objectives included determination of a recommended phase II dosing schedule and description of any antitumor activity (Recist criteria).

The study population included adult patients with advanced solid tumors (brain metastases were not excluded), ECOG performance status of 0–2, and adequate haematologic, renal and hepatic function. Prior therapy with chemotherapy, immunotherapy and radiotherapy was allowed without any limitation of the type or the total number of prior regimens. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee and all patients provided written informed consent. Temozolomide (doses ranged from 100 to 150 mg/m²/day) was administered orally on days 1–7 and 15–21 of every 28 days; liposomal doxorubicin (doses 30 or 40 mg/m²) was administered i.v. (90-min infusion) every 4 weeks. Patients were followed by echocardiography every 3 cycles.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

No. of patients	21
Male/female	12/9
Median age (range)	57 (19–74)
ECOG PS	
0	6
1	12
2	3
Prior therapy	
chemotherapy (CT)	7
radiotherapy (RT)	2
CT + RT	5
immunotherapy (± CT or RT)	5
no prior therapy	4

Table 2 Treatment administration

Temozolomide ^a (mg/m ² /day)	Caelyx ^b (mg/m ²)	Patients (N)	No. of cycles	
			Without dose reduction	With dose reduction
100	30	3	17 ^c	0
100	40	6	18 ^c	0
125	40	6	18	1
150	40	6	14	12

Median number of cycles per patient: 3 (1–10).

^aDays 1–7 and 15–21 every 28 days.

^bEvery 28 days.

^cOne patient at each dose level had only treatment delay.

Results

Twenty-one adult patients (12 men and nine women) with solid tumors (melanoma *n* = 10, sarcoma *n* = 7, unknown primary tumors *n* = 2, non-small-cell lung cancer *n* = 1 and adenocarcinoma of the maxillary *n* = 1) entered the study. The patients' characteristics are presented in Table 1. The treatment administration is summarized in Table 2.

DLTs were noted after the first cycle only in one patient at dose level (DL) 2 (stomatitis grade 3), and after 2 or more treatment cycles in one patient at DL3 (stomatitis grade 3) and in one patient at DL4 (grade 4 neutropenia for 7 or more days).

Treatment delays and/or dose reductions were necessary in five of six patients receiving treatment with DL4 compared with one of six patients in the DL3 group, and one patient each in DL1 and 2. The reasons for treatment delays and/or dose reductions were haematologic (six patients), skin rash (one patient) and patient request (one patient). Thus, the MTD for this combination regimen was temozolomide 125 mg/m² administered daily for 7 days every other week plus Caelyx 40 mg/m² every 4 weeks. Non-hematologic toxicities (mainly grade 1/2) included vomiting (oral 5-HT₃ antagonists + once daily dexamethasone 4 mg orally were needed only during the first 2–3 days of treatment with temozolomide), anorexia, fatigue, stomatitis and skin erythema. No clinical cardiotoxicity was observed in seven patients who received 5 or more cycles of treatment (two of them were pretreated with anthracyclines). Antitumor activity was observed in eight patients with various tumor types, including one complete response (melanoma), three partial responses (one melanoma, two sarcomas) and four patients with stable disease (three melanomas, one Ewing sarcoma). The duration of antitumor activity lasted from 14 to 135 + weeks. Antitumor activity was noted in the liver, lung, brain and soft tissue metastases. Two melanoma patients demonstrated stable disease in non-irradiated cerebral lesions. Table 3 summarizes the characteristics of patients with signs of antitumor activity.

Table 3 Antitumor activity

Dose level (TMZ/Caelyx)	Tumor type	Response (duration in weeks)	Response site(s)	Prior chemotherapy
100/30	melanoma	SD (22)	liver, lymph nodes	yes
	Ewing sarcoma	SD (41)	lung, liver	yes
100/40	melanoma	CR (135 +)	liver	no
125/40	melanoma	PR (21)	skin	no
	myofibroblastic sarcoma	PR (14)	soft tissue	no
150/40	Ewing sarcoma	PR (28) ^a	peritoneal lymph nodes, soft tissue	yes
	melanoma	SD (34)	lung, cerebral ^b , soft tissue	yes
	melanoma	SD (28)	lung, liver, cerebral ^b	no

SD: stable disease; CR: complete response; PR: partial response; TMZ: temozolomide.

^aLost to follow-up after 28 weeks.

^bNo prior radiotherapy to the brain.

Discussion

Caelyx was combined with the approved schedule of temozolomide (daily $\times 5$ every 4 weeks) in a phase I study reported by Volm *et al.* [8]. The regimen was well tolerated and both drugs were successfully escalated to doses considered a MTD in prior single-agent studies (Caelyx 40 mg/m² + temozolomide 200 mg/m² for 5 days every 4 weeks). The extended schedule of temozolomide used in our study allowed better dose intensity of this agent (1750 mg/m² in 4 weeks time) compared to the standard 5-day regimen (1000 mg/m²). No objective responses were observed in Volm's study (eight melanoma patients were treated). In our study, antitumor activity was observed in melanoma patients (two objective responses and three stable diseases of more than 20 weeks duration) and in two heavily pretreated patients with Ewing sarcomas. The prolonged schedule of temozolomide could explain the antitumor activity seen in our study, but to draw a definite conclusion regarding the antitumor activity of temozolomide, a randomized trial comparing the standard to a prolonged schedule of temozolomide is needed.

To our knowledge, there are two reports showing no or limited antitumor activity of Caelyx in pretreated melanoma patients [9,10], but an additive effect of Caelyx in combination with temozolomide cannot be ruled out. Once again, a randomized study comparing temozolomide to temozolomide plus Caelyx might be of particular interest in sarcoma and melanoma patients, and could document the additive effect, if any, of Caelyx and an extended schedule of temozolomide.

Recently, Docetaxel, another cytotoxic drug with a limited activity in melanoma, was combined with the standard schedule of temozolomide as first-line therapy [11]. Interestingly, in this study, 27% of the patients with metastatic melanoma achieved objective responses.

Other temozolomide combination studies have been performed recently in patients with metastatic melanoma using agents such as thalidomide (response rate 26%) [12–14] and interferon (response rate 20%) [14]. A randomized study comparing an extended schedule of temozolomide alone and in combination with these anticancer agents will be of great interest.

Temozolomide can cross the blood–brain barrier and its concentration in the central nervous system is approximately 30% of its concentration in plasma [15]. Temozolomide showed activity in patients with brain metastases from melanoma [16] and non-small cell lung cancers [3]. Two melanoma patients with systemic disease and no life-threatening brain metastases were treated in our study. Brain radiotherapy was omitted in these patients. These metastases remained stable for

more than 6 months and no new lesions appeared. In a retrospective case control study, Paul *et al.* reported less central nervous system relapse in temozolomide-treated patients compared to dacarbazine-treated patients [17].

In conclusion, temozolomide 125 mg/m² administered daily for 7 days every other week plus Caelyx 40 mg/m² every 4 weeks was well tolerated, while DL4 (temozolomide 150 mg/m² + Caelyx 40 mg/m²) was limited by hematologic toxicity. Antitumor activity was seen specifically in patients with Ewing sarcoma and melanoma. Thus, this combination warrants further clinical development.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr David Cutler (Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, USA) for his support, Mrs Carolyn Straehle, PhD, for her input and Mrs Isabelle François for her secretarial assistance.

References

- O'Reilly SM, Newlands ES, Glaser MG, Brampton M, Rice-Edwards JM, Illingworth RD, *et al.* Temozolomide: a new oral cytotoxic chemotherapy agent with promising activity against primary brain tumours. *Eur J Cancer* 1993; **29A**:940–942.
- Middleton MR, Grab JJ, Aaronson N, Fierlbeck G, Tilgen W, Seiter S, *et al.* Randomized phase III study of temozolomide versus dacarbazine in the treatment of patients with advanced metastatic malignant melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2000; **18**:158–166.
- Abrey LE, Olson JD, Raizer JJ, Mack M, Rodavitch A, Boutros DY, *et al.* A phase II trial of temozolomide for patients with recurrent or progressive brain metastases. *J Neuro-Oncol* 2001; **53**:259–265.
- Gerson SL, Spiro TP, Reidenberg P, Schupp J, Liu L, Haaga J, *et al.* Rapid depletion of O⁶-alkylguanine DNA alkyltransferase with twice daily oral temozolomide (SCH 52365) in patients with advanced cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 1996; **15**:178 (abstr).
- Gabizon A, Catane R, Uziel B, Kaufman B, Safra T, Cohen R, *et al.* Prolonged circulation time and enhanced accumulation in malignant exudates of doxorubicin encapsulated in polyethylene-glycol coated liposomes. *Cancer Res* 1994; **54**:987–992.
- Muggia FM, Hainsworth JD, Jeffers S, Miller P, Groshen S, Tan M, *et al.* Phase II study of liposomal doxorubicin in refractory ovarian cancer: antitumor activity and toxicity modification by liposomal encapsulation. *J Clin Oncol* 1997; **15**:987–993.
- Sparano JA, Winer EP. Liposomal anthracyclines for breast cancer. *Semin Oncol* 2001; **28**(suppl 12): 32–40.
- Volm M, Oratz R, Pavlick A, Farrell K, Lee J, Muggia F. A phase I study of liposomal doxorubicin and temozolomide in patients with advanced cancer. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2000; **19**:223 (abstr).
- Ellerhorst JA, Bedikian A, Ring S, Buzaid AC, Eton O, Legha SS. Phase II trial of doxil for patients with metastatic melanoma refractory to frontline therapy. *Oncol Rep* 1999; **6**:1097–1099.
- Vorobiof DA, Rapoport BL, Mahomed R, Karime M. Phase II study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma failing standard chemotherapy treatment. *Melanoma Res* 2003; **13**:201–203.
- Bafaloukos D, Gogas H, Georgoulis V, Briassoulis E, Fountzilias G, Samantas E, *et al.* Temozolomide in combination with docetaxel in patients with advanced melanoma: a phase II study of the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**: 420–425.
- Hwu WJ, Krown SE, Panageas KS, Menell JH, Chapman PB, Livingston PO, *et al.* Temozolomide plus thalidomide in patients with advanced melanoma: results of a dose-finding trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; **20**:2610–2615.
- Hwu WJ, Krown SE, Menell JH, Panageas KS, Merrell J, Quinn CJ, *et al.* Temozolomide plus thalidomide in patients with advanced melanoma: a phase II trial. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2002; **21**:344 (abstr).

- 14 Danson S, Arance A, Lorigan P, Clamp A, Hodgetts J, Lomax L *et al.* A randomized study of temozolomide alone, with interferon- α or with thalidomide in metastatic malignant melanoma. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2002; **21**:343 (abstr).
- 15 Agarwala SS, Reyderman L, Statkevich P, Fraass U, Loomba A, Stover LL, *et al.* Pharmacokinetic study of temozolomide penetration into CSF in patient with dural melanoma. *Ann Oncol* 1998; **9**(suppl 4): 138 (abstr).
- 16 Biasco G, Pantaleo MA, Casadei S. Treatment of brain metastases of malignant melanoma with temozolomide. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **345**: 621–622.
- 17 Paul MJ, Summers Y, Calvert AH, Rustin G, Brampton MH, Thatcher N, *et al.* Effect of temozolomide on central nervous system relapse in patients with advanced melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 2002; **12**: 175–178.